Accepted Manuscript

Title: The Ball in Play Demands of International Rugby Union

Authors: Benjamin T. Pollard, Anthony N. Turner, Robin Eager, Daniel J. Cunningham, Christian J. Cook, Patrick Hogben, Liam P. Kilduff

Please cite this article as: Pollard Benjamin T, Turner Anthony N, Eager Robin, Cunningham Daniel J, Cook Christian J, Hogben Patrick, Kilduff Liam P.The Ball in Play Demands of International Rugby Union.*Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2018.02.015>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The Ball in Play Demands of International Rugby Union

Benjamin T. Pollard ^{a,b*}, Anthony N. Turner ^d, Robin Eager ^c, Daniel J. Cunningham ^a, Christian J. Cook^{a,f}, Patrick Hogben^b, Liam P. Kilduff^{a,e}

^a Applied Sport Technology Exercise and Medicine Research Centre (A-STEM), College of

Engineering, Swansea University, Swansea, Wales

^b Saracens RFC, North London, England

^c The Rugby Football Union, Greater London, England

^d School of Science and Technology, London Sports Institute, Middlesex University, London, England

^e Welsh Institute of Performance Science, College of Engineering, Swansea University, Swansea, Wales

^f University of Canberra Research Institute for Sport and Health, University of Canberra, Canberra, Australia " Applied Sport Technology Exercise and Meticine Research Centre (A-STEM), College of

Engineering, Swansen University, Swansea, Wales

" Sancens RFC, North London, England

" The Rugby Football Union, Greater London, Engl

* Corresponding Author

E-mail: benpollard@saracens.net (BTP)

Saracens Training Ground, 160 Harpenden Road, St Albans, Herts, England AL3 6BB

Abstract

Objectives: Rugby union is a high intensity intermittent sport, typically analysed via set time periods or rolling average methods. This study reports the demands of international rugby union via global

positioning system (GPS) metrics expressed as mean ball in play (BiP), maximum BiP (max BiP), and whole match outputs.

Design: Single cohort cross sectional study involving 22 international players, categorised as forwards and backs.

Methods: A total of 88 GPS files from eight international test matches were collected during 2016. An Opta sportscode timeline was integrated into the GPS software to split the data into BiP periods. Metres per min (mmin⁻¹), high metabolic load per min (HML), accelerations per min (Acc), high speed running per min (HSR), and collisions per min (Coll) were expressed relative to BiP periods and over the whole match (>60min).

Results: Whole match metrics were significantly lower than all BiP metrics ($p < 0.001$). Mean and max BiP HML, $(p < 0.01)$ and HSR $(p < 0.05)$ were significantly higher for backs versus forwards, whereas Coll were significantly higher for forwards ($p < 0.001$). In plays lasting 61s or greater, max BiP m min⁻¹ were higher for backs. Max BiP m min⁻¹, HML, HSR and Coll were all time dependant (p *<* 0.05) showing that both movement metrics and collision demands differ as length of play continues. *Conclusions:* This study uses a novel method of accurately assessing the BiP demands of rugby union. It also reports typical and maximal demands of international rugby union that can be used by practitioners and scientists to target training of worst-case scenario's equivalent to international intensity. Backs covered greater distances at higher speeds and demonstrated higher HML, in general play as well as 'worst case scenarios'; conversely forwards perform a higher number of collisions. Opta sportscode timeline was integrated into the GPS software to split the data into BiP periods.
Metros per min (mmin²), high metabolic load per min (HML), accelerations per min (Acce), high
speed running per min (HSR

Keywords: GPS analysis, collisions, movement patterns, worst case scenario

Introduction

Rugby union is characterised as a high intensity intermittent collision sport, requiring athletes to perform repeated running actions, collisions, and static efforts of differing work to rest periods. ¹ The ability to repeat high intensity efforts is linked to success in rugby union $2,3$ and higher match running

demands have been reported at international level. ⁴ Players must be physically prepared for the demands of international competition ^{4,5}, and further research is warranted at this level. ⁶

The assessment of in-game demands provides coaches with an understanding of what is required from players⁷, and helps establish physical standards to work on or towards. ⁸ Only two studies have attempted to quantify the physical demands of international rugby using Global Positioning Systems (GPS). ^{5,8} This method allows the measurement of in game movement patterns and velocities, whilst also monitoring these same metrics during training sessions. This allows the potential to monitor training with the aim of matching or superseding match-play demands, providing a physical and tactical stimulus⁷ likely to positively transfer to competition.

The majority of club and international level studies have reported whole match or per half demands of rugby matches. ^{4,8,9} However, it is noteworthy that whole match averages may not reflect fluctuations in running or playing intensity that occur throughout match-play. ^{6,10,11} It has been suggested that team sport athletes should be exposed to training at 'worst-case scenario' (WCS) intensities, which align to the highest recorded intensity recorded within match-play. ^{5,12,13} Previously, studies have analysed small set time periods to view fluctuations in intensity within competition $6,14$, however, it may be that the most intense period of play do not fall within these periods, for example, zero to five, or 60 to 70 min into the game . ⁵ Varley et al. ¹⁵ showed that pre-determined blocks under-estimate a peak rolling average method by 25%, and peak rolling average method was also found to best quantify intensities in rugby 7 's. 11 attempted to quantify the physical demands of international rugby using Global Positioning Systems

(GPS). ⁵⁵ This method allows the measurement of in game movement patterns and velocities, whilst

also monitoring these

An alternative method is to analyse the actions during the time the ball is in play. ¹⁶ This is an important consideration as rugby union is such an intermittent sport. It typically demonstrates a ball in play (BiP) time less than ball out of play time during Rugby World Cups (approximately 44% of overall match time $\frac{17}{16}$. Recently the longest BiP period in matches (average duration 152-161s) $\frac{16}{16}$, and attacking plays in the opposition $22m$ zone 13 , from the European Rugby Championship and Guinness Pro12 League matches have been investigated. Both studies reported significantly higher metres per

 \min (m \min^{-1}) (117 m \min^{-1} and 98.8-115.6 m \min^{-1}) than had been previously reported, 68 m \min^{-1} regarding average whole match m min⁻¹. ¹⁴ This analysis was the average of the longest play from each game ¹⁶, or of a specific action by a team ¹³, therefore neither reports typical demands within a match nor maximum physical demands dependant on movement and/or collision activities (ie. WCS).

The aims of this study were to report both mean and the max BiP demands of international rugby union alongside whole match demands and differing positional groups. It is hypothesised that BiP demands will differ from whole match demands and that outputs may be higher for the forwards compared to those previously reported. This is due to no movement data from ball out of play time included within the BiP data, therefore disregarding the likely higher repositioning movement of the backs. This will give a greater understanding of the typical physical demands and describe the peak demands of duration specific BiP periods, allowing comparison of WCS in relation to international standards, of which there is a lack of research at present.

Methods

GPS data were collected from eight international matches during 2016, data were included if the player had played > 60 min. This was chosen as it has been shown that substitutes have higher outputs than starting players, potentially due to pacing strategies. ¹⁸ Given the discrete roles, players were grouped into units of forwards and backs. The average number of files contributed by each player was 4.5 ± 2.6 . Every player contributed a minimum of one GPS file, with the maximum collected from any player being eight; there were 35 units used over the course of six months of collection. The GPS data was analysed post game to view locomotor and collision metrics, and data was separated into positional groups and compared. A BiP timeline of all the duration of all plays was generated by Opta (London, UK), this is the duration which play is ongoing prior to the ball exiting the pitch or the referee stopping play. Matches played were at varying international rugby stadiums. The ains of this study were to report both mean and the max BiP demands of international rugby
union alongside whole match demands and differing positional groups. It is hypothesised that BiP
demands will differ from whol

A total of 22 male international players took part in the study (Table 1). Prior to giving written consent, all players were provided with an outline of the rationale and procedures of the study. Approval was granted from Swansea University ethics committee. All players were healthy and

partaking in full training at the time. The data collection was carried out as part of the players' routine monitoring procedures ensuring all players were familiar with wearing GPS units.

******Table 1** about here****

Data was collected between January 2016 and July 2016 over two international competitions. The units were placed in bespoke pockets in the players' match shirts, between their shoulder blades close to their thoracic spine minimising movement artefacts. ¹⁹ The 10 Hz GPS units (Viper Pod, STATSports, Belfast, UK) collected data using the four best satellites obtainable, with respect to signal strength. 10 Hz units have been shown previously to be more reliable than 5Hz when assessing team sport movement patterns $20,21$, and the manufacturer of these specific units have been utilised for other elite rugby and soccer studies analysing acceleration profiles. 8,22, 23, 24

The units were turned on approximately four hours prior to kick-off to gain signal and satellites for 30 min in the centre of the pitch. They were turned off to save battery, and switched on again one hour prior to kick off. The data was then downloaded using specific hardware and a consistent version of software (Viper PSA Software, Version 2.6.1.176, STATSports, Belfast, UK); time periods were split manually for the whole match period. GPS data was viewed to identify any files that lost GPS signal for sections of the match, and these files identified were removed from the dataset. An Opta generated timeline of the game (SportsCode, Sportstec, Lower Hutt, New Zealand) was then integrated into the software to automatically split the match data into periods of BiP. Data was then exported into Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, USA) for further analyses. Data was collected between January 2016 and July 2016 over two international competitions. The
units were placed in bespoke pockets in the players' match shirts, between their shoulder blades close
to their thoracic spine

Plays less than 30s were not included in the analysis, though ~26% of plays are less than 30s in duration (unpublished research), this helps avoid false representation of intensity due to excessively high calculations of each metric per minute. ¹³ This procedure will also reduce the potential for compounding errors that are artefacts of the methodology utilised to collect BiP data.

Mean duration of plays in international rugby are 50-55s in duration (unpublished research). Mean and maximum metrics for three periods of 30 to 60s, 61 to 90s and over 90s (~39, 20 and 15% of all plays respectively) were analysed, alongside the mean intensity of all BiP periods over 30s, These periods represent the most frequent BiP time frames occurring in international rugby, whilst also providing information regarding time frames that are pragmatic to technical, tactical, and conditioning drills utilised in game training. ²⁵

GPS metrics were displayed per minute as a measure of intensity of match play for either BiP or whole match. These were relative total distance covered (mmin⁻¹), high metabolic load distance (HML) (defined as distance accelerating over 2.5m s^2 and sprinting over 5.5m s^2), high speed running (HSR) (distance ran over 5m s^{-1}), accelerations (Acc) (over 3m s^{-2}), and collisions (Coll) per min as detected by the GPS unit, recently shown by Hulin et al. 26 to be able to detect 97.6% of collisions in rugby league. Given the importance of frequency of collisions, as dictated by the coaching staff, a pilot study was performed to validate the use of this metric from Statsports units. Results found that 85.3 \pm 3.6% of the collisions detected by the gps units were correct when compared to video analysis. This is a slightly lower percentage than reported by Hulin et al., $(2016)^{26}$, possibly due to the differing angles of collisions in rugby league compared to other sports 26 . utilised in game training.⁵⁵

GPS metrics were displayed per minute as a measure of intensity of match play for either BiP or whole

match. These were relative total distance covered (mmin⁻³), high metabolic load dist

Linear mixed models were used to examine each dependent variable (i.e., the GPS metrics) for the interaction with respect to position (i.e., forwards and backs), with Bonferroni correction used for post hoc analysis and with partial η^2 reported as a measure of effect size. Where sphericity was violated, Greenhouse-Geisser correction was used. All statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS (version 21) with the level of significance set as $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Mean Whole Match and BiP

There was a significant difference in mean mmin⁻¹ (F (4, 68) = 432.86, p < 0.001, partial η^2 = 0.962), HML (F (4,68) = 223.1, p < 0.001, partial $\eta^2 = 0.929$), Acc (F (4, 68) = 67.41, p < 0.001, partial $\eta^2 = 0.799$), HSR (F(4, 68) = 60.81, p < 0.001, partial $\eta^2 = 0.782$), and Coll (F (4, 68) = 118.79,

 $p < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.875$) across all periods of play. For mean HML (F (1,17) = 18.24, p < 0.01 partial $\eta^2 = 0.518$), HSR (F (1,17) = 33.04, p < 0.001, partial $\eta^2 = 0.660$), and Coll (F (1,17) = 54.50, p $<$ 0.001, partial η^2 = 0.762) differences between position were also noted. All differences across position, whole match and BiP duration, as identified by Bonferoni adjusted post hoc analyses, are reported in Table 2.

Max BiP

There was a significant difference for max mmin⁻¹ (F (2,40) = 56.96, p < 0.001, partial η^2 = 0.740), max HML (F (2,40) = 106.0, p < 0.001, partial $\eta^2 = 0.840$), max Acc (F (2,40) = 30.18, p < 0.001, partial $\eta^2 = 0.601$), max HSR, F(2, 40) = 79.64, p < 0.001, partial $\eta^2 = 0.799$), and max Coll (F (2,40) = 79.64, p < 0.001, partial η^2 = 0.799) across all periods of BiP. For max mmin⁻¹ (F(1,20) = 10.06, p < 0.01, partial $\eta^2 = 0.335$), max HML (F (1,20) = 24.3, p < 0.001, partial $\eta^2 = 0.549$), max HSR (F (1,20) $= 17.69$, p < 0.001, partial $\eta^2 = 0.469$), and max Coll (F (1,20) = 17.69, p < 0.001, partial $\eta^2 = 0.469$), differences between position were also noted. All differences, as identified by Bonferoni adjusted post hoc analyses, regarding position and max BiP duration, are reported in Table 3 **Max BiP**

There was a significant difference for max mmin⁻¹ (F (2,40) = 56.96, p < 0.001, partial η^2 = 0.740),

max HML (F (2,40) = 106.0, p < 0.001, partial η^2 = 0.849), max Acc (F (2,40) = 30.18, p < 0.001,

 ******Table 2 and 3** about here****

DISCUSSION

This study reports, for the first time, the mean and maximum demands of BiP periods of international rugby compared to whole match demands. As hypothesised, BiP metrics were significantly higher than whole match averages. Mean BiP metrics significantly differed between forwards and backs, primarily between HML, HSR, and Coll (Table 2). Max BiP metrics mirrored this finding but also included mmin⁻¹. Max BiP mmin⁻¹, HML, HSR and Coll were all time dependant showing that WCS movement metrics and collision demands decrease in intensity as length of play continues (Table 3).

All the above findings offer a novel insight into typical and WCS demands in international rugby match play.

Metres per min did not differ between forwards and backs over whole match or BiP periods. This differs to Reardon et al. ¹⁶ who investigated the running demands of the longest play (average duration 152-161s), reporting that both tight five $(109 \text{ m/min}^{-1} \text{ CI } 104-114 \text{ mmin}^{-1})$ and back row $(111 \text{ m min}^{-1} \text{ CI } 104-114 \text{ mmin}^{-1})$ CI 105-117 mmin⁻¹) forwards differed from inside backs (123 mmin⁻¹ CI 117-129 mmin⁻¹) and outside backs (124 mmin⁻¹ CI 117-131 mmin⁻¹). These reported mmin⁻¹ are slightly higher than our papers mean BiP periods over 90s (105.0 mmin⁻¹ and 110.9mmin⁻¹ for forwards and backs respectively), however they are much lower than the max BiP data of this study over 90s, 141.9m min- $¹$ and 155.5mmin⁻¹ for forwards and backs respectively. This is likely because, although Reardon et al.</sup> ¹⁷ reports 'WCS' plays, they analysed the average of the longest plays, rather than plays that involved the highest running demands. CI 105-117 mmin¹) forwards differed from inside backs (123 mmin¹ CI 117-129 mmin³) and
outside backs (124 mmin¹ CI 117-131 mmin¹). These reported mmin³ cre sightly higher than our
papers mean BiP periods over

Mean BiP mmin⁻¹ data did not differ between forwards and backs, however, max BiP mmin⁻¹ was significantly higher for backs ($p < 0.05$) for plays 61s or over. Delaney et al. ⁵ reported similar WCS mmin⁻¹ to our paper, with 154-184 mmin⁻¹ and 122-147 mmin⁻¹ for 1 and 2 min peak rolling averages respectively, and also reported that backs ran significantly further versus tight five. This suggests that typical relative distance may be similar between positions, however, WCS plays demand greater running from the backs due to positional requirements and/or players running capacities.

Both HML and HSR were significantly higher for backs versus forwards across mean whole match (as similarly reported by Cunningham et al. δ) and mean and max BiP periods. Though there is no significant difference between mean BiP mmin⁻¹, the positional difference for both HML and HSR min⁻¹ suggests that the backs cover these similar distances at higher speeds than forwards. As Quarrie et al. ⁴explains, the role of the backs is typically to utilise the space, whereas the forwards contest for the ball. The average distance for a high intensity running effort is $6-14m^{27,28}$, therefore perhaps the forwards' acceleration capability and capacity is more important than high speed running in respect of their roles.⁵ The above point might also explain why the current study found no difference between forwards and backs regarding mean or max BiP Acc. Previous research has shown accelerations to be

similar in frequency between forwards and backs⁸, and a higher mean acceleration for the forwards (2.46 ms^{-2}) vs backs (2.36 ms^{-2}) .⁶ This is a very relevant metric to report as acceleration qualities are fundamental 1 and relate to success in rugby. $2,3$

This study supports the notion that collision capability and capacity are also very important for forwards 10, 16, as they are involved in higher Coll than backs over a whole match as well as mean and max BiP periods ($p < 0.01$). This study reports similar Coll over the whole match (0.51 and 0.27 coll min⁻¹ for forwards and backs respectively) using GPS detected collisions, compared to TMA analysis by Lindsay et al. $9(0.56 \text{ and } 0.36 \text{ coll·min}^{-1})$. Forwards are involved in ~89 static or collision actions throughout a game 3 , equating to \sim 30% of actions 6 and in international rugby there is a ruck every ~12 seconds ¹⁷ suggesting multiple players are involved in a collision effort at a high frequency. It is known that collisions and static efforts in rugby are similarly fatiguing to running efforts for players²⁹, and higher heart rate %, higher rating of perceived exertion, and decrement in sprinting performance has been shown when simulated collisions are added into a running drill. ³⁰ Collisions are therefore an essential metric when reporting holistic physical demands of positional groups alongside movement metrics. ¹⁷ This study is the first to report GPS detected collisions within BiP, recently validated by Hulins et al. ²⁶ forwards ^{16, 14}, as they are involved in higher Coll than backs over a whole match as well as mean and
max BiP periods ($p < 0.01$). This study reports similar Coll over the whole match (0.51 and 0.27
collmin¹ for forw

Throughout all max BiP periods, GPS metrics decreased over time $(p < 0.05)$, with the highest outputs observed in periods lasting 30-60s and the lowest over 90s, a similar trend to movement metrics demonstrated using a peak rolling average by Delaney et al.⁵. This shows the importance of reporting intensity metrics over duration specified periods. If the aim of a rugby or conditioning drill is to align to WCS demands, then there are specific GPS metrics to achieve, dependant on the duration of the drill. The decrease in intensity that occurs as the play duration increases are possibly due to positional or tactical requirements where a player is not involved in a high intensity activity. Conversely, during longer periods of plays, for example >90s, it may not be possible for all players to maintain the intensity of work seen in shorter periods (30-60s) due to limits of their physical capacities.

It is also worth noting that this analysis was performed using commercially available software that can output any GPS metric available. Other analysis of peak demands of play such as peak rolling average, is appropriate for only certain metrics, and is not as yet readily commercially available or usable.

Conclusion

This study is the first to report whole match, mean and max BiP demands of international rugby union. BiP analysis allows an accurate portrayal of the movement and collision demands to further the understanding of international rugby union. BiP metrics were higher than whole match averages. During both mean and max BiP, backs perform greater HML and HSR, and forwards perform a higher number of collisions. This study is the first to report whole match, mean and max BiP demands of international rugby union.

BiP analysis atlows an accurate pertrayal of the movement and collision demands to further the

understanding of intern

Practical Implications

- The challenge within rugby union is to attempt to improve various aspects of technical, tactical and physical qualities of performance simultaneously. With knowledge of typical, but perhaps more so, the maximum demands of duration specific movement and collision outputs in international rugby, training can be aimed to match or supersede these metrics whilst monitored by GPS for feedback.
- WCS training drills or conditioning drills should align to positional differences encompassing that backs cover greater HML and HSR, and forwards must have the capacity to repeat accelerations and collisions.
- By aligning WCS playing demands to training drills there is likely greater transfer to performance in match play via executing skills and decision making, alongside stimulating physical capabilities and capacities.

Acknowledgements

No funding was provided.

REFERENCES

- 1. Duthie G, Pyne D, Hooper S. Applied physiology and game analysis of rugby union. *Sports Med* 2003; 33(13); 973-991.
- 2. Austin D, Gabbett T, Jenkins, D. Repeated high-intensity exercise in professional rugby union. *J Sports Sci* 2011; 29(10): 1105-1112.
- 3. Roberts SP, Trewartha G, Higgitt, RJ, et al. The physical demands of elite English rugby union. *Journal of sports sciences* 2008: 26(8); 825-833.
- 4. Quarrie KL, Hopkins WG, Anthony, MJ et al. Positional demands of international rugby union: Evaluation of player actions and movements. *J Sci Med Sport* 2013: *16*(4); 353-359.
- 5. Delaney JA, Thornton HR, Pryor JF et al. Peak Running Intensity of International Rugby: Implications for Training Prescription. *Int J Sports Phys Perf* 2016: 1-22.
- 6. Lacome M, Piscione J, Hager JP et al. Fluctuations in running and skill-related performance in elite rugby union match-play. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2016: 1-12.
- 7. Ziv G, Lidor R. On-field Performances of Rugby Union Players—A Review. *J Strength Cond Res* 2016: *30*(3); 881-892.
- 8. Cunningham DJ, Shearer DA, Drawer S et al. Movement Demands of Elite Under-20s and Senior International Rugby Union Players. PloS one 2016; 11(11): e0164990.
- 9. Lindsay A, Draper N, Lewis J et al. Positional demands of professional rugby. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2015; *15*(6): 480-487.
- 10. Jones MR, West DJ, Crewther BT et al. Quantifying positional and temporal movement patterns in professional rugby union using global positioning system. *Euro J Sport Sci* 2015; 15(6), 488-496. 3. Roberts SP, Trewartha G, Higgitt, RJ, et al. The physical demands of elite English rugby

union. Journal of sports sciences 2008: 26(8); 825-833.

4. Quarrie KT, Hopkins WG, Ambony, MJ et al. Positional demands of inte
	- 11. Furlan N, Waldron M, Shorter K et al. Running-intensity fluctuations in elite rugby sevens performance. *Int J Sports Phys Perf* 2015; *10*(6): 802-807.
	- 12. Black GM, Gabbett TJ. Match intensity and pacing strategies in rugby league: an examination of whole-game and interchanged players, and winning and losing teams. *J Strength Cond Res* 2014; 28(6): 1507-1516.

- 13. Tierney P, Tobin DP, Blake C et al. Attacking 22 entries in rugby union: running demands and differences between successful and unsuccessful entries. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2016.
- 14. Cahill N, Lamb K, Worsfold, P et al. The movement characteristics of English Premiership rugby union players. *J Sports Sci* 2013; 31(3): 229-237.
- 15. Varley MC, Elias GP, Aughey, RJ. Current match-analysis techniques' underestimation of intense periods of high-velocity running. *Int J Sports Phys Perf* 2012: *7*(2); 183-185.
- 16. Reardon C, Tobin DP, Tierney P et al. The worst case scenario: Locomotor and collision demands of the longest periods of gameplay in professional rugby union. *PLoS one*: 2017. *12*(5); e0177072. intense periods of high-velocity running. *Int J Sports Phys Perf* 2012: 7(2); 183-185.

16. Reardon C, Tobin DP, Tierney P et al. The worst case securito: Locomotor and collision

demands of the longest periods of gamepl
	- 17. World Rugby. Game Analysis. Available at http://www.worldrugby.org/game-analysis. Accessed 6 July 2017.
	- 18. Lacome M, Piscione J, Hager JP et al. Analysis of Running and Technical Performance in Substitute Players in International Male Rugby Union Competition. *Int J Sports Phys Perf*, 2016; *11*(6): 783-792.
	- 19. Harley JA, Barnes CA, Portas M et al. Motion analysis of match-play in elite U12 to U16 agegroup soccer players. *J Sports Sci*, 2010; 28(13): 1391-1397.
	- 20. Castellano J, Casamichana D, Calleja-González J et al. Reliability and Accuracy of 10 GPS Devices for Short-Distance Exercise. *J Sports Sci Med* 2011; 10: 233-234.
	- 21. Varley MC, Fairweather IH, Aughey RJ. Validity and reliability of GPS for measuring instantaneous velocity during acceleration, deceleration, and constant motion. *J Sports Sci* 2012: 30(2); 121-127.
	- 22. Russell M, Sparkes W, Northeast J et al. Changes in acceleration and deceleration capacity throughout professional soccer match-play. *J Strength Cond Res* 2016: 30(10); 2839-2844.
	- 23. Tierney PJ, Young A, Clarke ND et al. Match play demands of 11 versus 11 professional football using Global Positioning System tracking: Variations across common playing formations. *Human movement science* 2016: 31;49:1-8.

- 24. Russell M, Sparkes W, Northeast J, Cook CJ, Bracken RM, Kilduff LP. Relationships between match activities and peak power output and Creatine Kinase responses to professional reserve team soccer match-play. *Human movement science* 2016 Feb 29;45:96-101.
- 25. Connolly F, White P. Game Changer. Simon and Schuster 2017.
- 26. Hulin BT, Gabbett T J, Johnston RD et al. Wearable microtechnology can accurately identify collision events during professional rugby league match-play. *J Sci Med Sport* 2017.
- 27. Eaton C, George, K. Position specific rehabilitation for rugby players. Part I: Empirical movement analysis data. *Physical Therapy in sport* 2006: 7 (1); 22-29
- 28. Austin D, Gabbett T, Jenkins D. The physical demands of Super 14 rugby union. *Journal of science and medicine in sport* 2011: 14(3); 259-263.
- 29. Morel B, Rouffet DM, Bishop DJ et al. Fatigue induced by repeated maximal efforts is specific to the rugby task performed. *Int J Sports Sci Coaching* 2015: 10(1); 11-20. collision events during professional rugby league match-play. *J Sci Med Sport* 2017.

27. Eaton C, George, K. Position specific rehabilitation for rugby players. Part I: Empirical

movement analysis data. *Physical Therap*
	- 30. Johnston RD, Gabbett TJ, Seibold, AJ et al. Influence of physical contact on pacing strategies

CCEPTED P 'a NU: SCR

Table 1. Anthropometric data for each positional group and presented as an average for the team.

Data is presented as means \pm standard deviation

Table 2. Average outputs for GPS metrics across a whole match (>60min), and various passages of BiP, separated by position (Forwards vs. Backs).

D

Data is presented as means \pm standard deviation

*^ = significantly different to backs, * = significantly different to forwards, a = significantly different to all BiP periods, b = significantly different than mean BiP, c = significantly different than mean BiP periods 30-60s, d = significantly different than mean BiP periods 61-90s,* Data is presented as means ± standard deviation of *significant*
 exploration in the significant and mean BiP periods over 90s.
 $e = \text{sign}(\text{length}, \text{all})$ different than mean BiP periods over 90s.
 $e = \text{sign}(\text{length}, \text{all})$ differen

30 R 10 u

Table 3. Maximum outputs for GPS metrics across a whole match (>60min), and various passages of BiP, separated by position (Forwards vs. Backs).

Data is presented as means \pm standard deviation

*^ = significantly different to backs, * = significantly different to forwards, f = significantly different than max BiP periods 30-60s, g = -90s, h = significantly different than max BiP periods over 90s.*